

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/693,950	NORMAN, DREW VAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alison K. Pickard	3676	

All Participants:

(1) Alison K. Pickard.

(2) Stephen Fabry.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all of record

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called with suggestions to put the claims in better (clearer) condition for allowance. The suggestions were approved and entered via examiner's amendment. Claim 10 was also amended to fix the dependency, since claim 5 already had the same language. .